

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/817,085	03/26/2001	Johannes Andreas Zaat	NL 000160	9828	
24737 7	590 07/28/2004	EXAMINER			
PHILIPS INT	ELLECTUAL PROP	PERRY, ANTHONY T			
P.O. BOX 300		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER			
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			AKTONII	PAPER NUMBER	
			2879		
			DATE MAILED: 07/28/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
• • • • •		09/817,085		ZAAT ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	_	Anthony T Perry		2879				
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	tion appears on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nations of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) do period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, howe cation. ays, a reply within the statutory min bry period will apply and will expire a by statute, cause the application to	ever, may a reply be tim nimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on 23 <i>April 2004</i> .						
· · ·	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)	,—							
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>2-5</u> is/are pending in the application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>2-5</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.			•			
10)⊠	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 March 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	y the Examiner. Note the	attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for the certification from the action fro	cuments have been rece cuments have been rece the priority documents ha Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2	eived. eived in Applicati ave been receive (a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachmer	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		Interview Summary					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO		Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P	ate atent Application (PT	O-152)			
	er No(s)/Mail Date		Other:	and a periodicing (C.1)	- ····/			

Application/Control Number: 09/817,085

Art Unit: 2879

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The Amendment, filed on 04/23/04, has been entered and acknowledged by the Examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McGinley (US 1,650,289) in view of Timsit (US 5,190,596).

Regarding claims 2-5, the McGinley reference discloses an electric lamp in Fig. 1 which comprises a light-transmitting lamp vessel 10, an electrical element 19 in the lamp vessel 10 and current supply conductors 15,16 extending to the electric element 19. The lamp further comprises a lamp cap connected to the lamp vessel 10, which has a shell portion 24 and a base portion 20 which each support an electric contact member 21. Each electric contact member has a surface on which the respective current supply conductor 15,16 is soldered to a discreet solidified connection body 25,26. McGinley does not specifically state what type of metal the contact members of the lamp cap are made of. However, it is well known in the art to use lamp caps made of relatively inexpensive aluminum. McGinley does not specifically state what type of soldering material the solidified connection body comprises.

Application/Control Number: 09/817,085

Art Unit: 2879

However, the background section of the Timsit reference discloses that a eutectic mixture of aluminum with an additive of about 12.5% by weight of silicone is an excellent well known brazing alloy for joining aluminum to metal surfaces (col. 1, lines 14-28). Accordingly, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to have used such a well known soldering alloy as disclosed by Timsit as the discreet solidified connection body of the McGinley reference so as to provide a secure reliable joint for connecting the supply conductors to their respective contact members. By using such a brazing alloy only the surfaces of the members being connected are parts of the integrally formed brazed junctions since the brazing alloy has a melting point lower than aluminum. In the combined invention the discrete connection body and a surface of the contact member, and a different portion of discreet connection body and a surface of the supply conductor are parts of integrally formed brazed junctions.

Response to Arguments

The brazing alloy disclosed in the background section of the Tismit reference is used in combination with McGinley. The Applicant's arguments concerning the use of the Tismit reference are therefor moot.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 09/817,085

Art Unit: 2879

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to *Anthony Perry* whose telephone number is (571) 272-2459. The examiner can normally be reached between the hours of 9:00AM to 5:30PM Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh Patel, can be reached on (571) 272-24597. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [Anthony.perry@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file.

PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35

U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published

Art Unit: 2879

in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Mill

Anthony Perry Patent Examiner Art Unit 2879 July 26, 2004 Vip Patel Primary Examiner Art Unit 2879